Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/590,512 | MATSUMOTO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| LELA S. WILLIAMS | 1789 | |

| | LELA S. WILLIAMS | 1789 | l |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| XI he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavite all (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | Appeal. To avoid abar c, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I. MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply originates than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external file. | | | |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further continuous they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying the | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | DTOL OOM |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). | | npilant Amendment (i | -1 OL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after er | try is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| See attached sheet. | (DTO/OD/00) D N-(-) | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | r I O/SD/U8) raper No(s) | | |
| /Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787 | /LELA S. WILLIAMS/ Examiner, Art Unit 1789 | | |